

Impact of Mineral Weathering and Organic Matter on Soil Water Retention



Eric Lunn¹, Fang Tan², Paul Imhoff³, Holly Michael^{2,3,*}

¹Department of Geosciences, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, 01003

²Department of Geological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716,

³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716



Abstract

Water retention of soils and rock in the unsaturated zone is important for predicting flow and solute transport through the subsurface and likely affects mineral weathering. We are evaluating the effects of 1) weathering and 2) organic matter on soil water retention. A WP4C Dewpoint Potentiometer (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA) is used to measure the water retention behavior of soil and saporlite samples from ground surface to 100 cm depth at Laurel Preserve in West Chester, PA. The data from the WP4C are used to derive the -1.5 MPa to -300 MPa section of the water retention curve. We hypothesize that soil from shallower depths will retain more water than soil from deeper depths due to higher surface area resulting from a greater extent of weathering near the surface compared to saporlite at deeper depth. Specific surface area (SSA) can be predicted by regression analysis using water retention curves. Samples are separated into two identical sets from the same depths, and organic matter removal pretreatment is used for one set. This is done to observe whether the presence of organic matter in soils reduces the SSA for mineral weathering to occur and therefore the ability of samples to retain water. We hypothesize that the organic matter removal treatment could reduce the water adsorption ability to certain degrees, especially for shallow depths which contain high organic matter content.


Hypotheses & Methods

Hypothesis

- Soil from shallow depths will retain more water than soil from deeper depths since it has been weathered to a higher degree and has more exposed specific surface area.
- The impact of organic matter (OM) on the water adsorption capacity differs depending on organic matter content.
 - Soil with high OM content could adsorb more moisture thus increasing the water adsorption capacity.
 - In soils with low OM content, the OM occupies the mineral surface area, therefore decreasing water adsorption capacity.

Methods

- Data Collection: WP4C used to measure water potential in samples



Theory



- Regression Analysis: Tuller and Or (TO) Regression Model

$$\Psi = \frac{\rho_w \cdot A_{svl}}{6\pi g} * \frac{S_a^2}{\theta_m^3}$$

WP4C measures Ψ , from that we can calculate θ_m . Since $\frac{A_{svl}}{6\pi g}$ is a constant we can then solve for S_a for different depths using the TO regression model.

Ψ	Matric Potential of soil
ρ_w	Density of water 1000 kg/m ³
A_{svl}	Hamaker constant (-6 x 10 ⁻²⁰ J)
g (m/s ²)	Gravity constant 9.8 m/s ²
S_a (m ² /g)	Surface area
θ_m (g/g)	Gravimetric water content (function of S_a)
h (m)	Thickness of water film present on soil grain (Used to derive equation)

Soil Water Retention



Soil horizon profile from our sampling site

http://www.uq.edu.au/_School_Science_Lessons/Soils.html

Results 1: OM Present




Table 1 Depths & estimated surface area

Depth (cm)	Soil Horizon	Estimated SSA(m ² /g)	R ²
0-6	A	80	0.89
6-14	A	70	0.83
65-70	B	20	0.84
78-84	B	15	0.84
84-100	C	16	0.82

Figure 1 (left): Water content (θ_m) vs. Matric Potential (MPa)

- As depth increases from 0 to 100 cm, the weathering degree decreases, therefore the soils generally retain less water.
- SSA decreases with depth as shown by the TO regression analysis results.
- SSA ranges from 15 to 80 m²/g.

Results 2: OM Removed




Figure 2 (left): Water content (θ_m) vs. Matric Potential (MPa)

- The SSA estimations with OM removed now range from 19 to 32 m²/g.




Figure 4 (above): Water content (θ_m) vs. Matric Potential (MPa)

- As organic matter content decreases water retention properties decrease.
- Estimated SSA decreases by over half in the OM removed samples (80 m²/g to 29 m²/g).




Figure 3 (above): Organic matter content (Wt%) vs. Depth (cm)

- As depth increases OM content decreases.




Figure 5 (above): Water content (θ_m) vs. Matric Potential (MPa)

- Specific surface area increases at this deeper depth when OM is removed (15 m²/g to 19 m²/g). This is due to the fact that OM occupies SSA.

Estimated SSA




Figure 6: SSA (m²/g) vs. Depth (cm) with varying weathering degrees and organic matter content.

- In both OM present and OM removed SSA generally decreases with depth.
- Organic matter removal in high organic matter content zones (shallower depths) could generally decrease the estimated SSA. Excessive OM that is not complexed at the mineral's surface contributes to Bulk SSA.
- Organic matter removal in low organic matter content zones (deeper depths) could potentially increase the estimated SSA.

Conclusion

- Shallower, more weathered soil retains more water than deeper, less weathered soil.
- Water retention properties at shallower depths are significantly affected by organic matter removal. From figure 4 (0-6 cm depth) it is shown that water retention is decreased after OM removal treatment, and estimated SSA is reduced by half compared to OM present. Deeper depths are not as affected by OM removal treatment as shallower depths even though there is a slight increase in water retention due to OM removal in figure 5 (78-84 cm depth).

References

- Saxon, K.E., Rawls, W.J., (2006). Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic matter for hydrologic solutions. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70, 1569-1578.
- Tuller, M., & Or, D. (2005). Water films and scaling of soil characteristic curves at low water contents. *Water Resources Research*, 41(9).

Acknowledgements

- The authors acknowledge financial support from NSF EAR 1263212, a project entitled "Collaborative Research: REU/RET site - Introducing Critical Zone Observatory science to students and teachers" and logistical support from Stroud Water Research Center.